

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,713	11/02/2001	Selim S. Bencuya	CNXT-01CXT0286I	4444
25700 7	590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER	
	FARJAMI LLP	ITE 360	MALDONADO, JULIO J	
26522 LA ALAMEDA AVEN MISSION VIEJO, CA 92691	•	11 E 300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2823	<u></u>

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
		10/016,713	BENCUYA, SELIM S.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Julio J. Maldonado	2823				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the properiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the properiod for reply will, by st	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	June 2004.					
· —	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tokumitsu (U.S. 5,238,856).

In reference to claims 1, 4, and 11, Tokumitsu (Figs.1-13) in a related method to form microlenses on a semiconductor circuit teaches applying a first coat of micro-lens suitable material to the surface of the semiconductive circuit (101, 102, 103, 104); imparting a first lens formation pattern (105) onto the first coat of micro-lens suitable material; removing unwanted portions of the first coat of micro-lens suitable material; applying a second coat of micro-lens suitable material to the to the surface of the semiconductive circuit (101, 102, 103, 104); imparting a second lens formation pattern (107) onto the second coat of micro-lens suitable material; removing unwanted portions of the second coat of micro-lens suitable material; and forming a plurality of micro-lenses from the remaining portions of the first (106) and second (108) coats of micro-lens suitable material, wherein the micro-lens suitable material is a thermally deformable and further thermally hardenable material such as novolac type positive photoresists (column 3, line 38 – column 5, line 25).

In reference to claims 3 and 5, Tokumitsu teaches wherein the pluralities of lens formation patterns are alternate counterparts of each other (column 5, lines 3 - 13).

In reference to claim 6, Tokumitsu teaches wherein the first and second lens formation patterns comprise rectangular regions in a checkerboard pattern (column 5, lines 3-13).

In reference to claim 7, Tokumitsu teaches wherein the rectangular regions comprise broken corners to avoid continuity with neighboring regions (column 5, lines 14-25).

In reference to claim 8, Tokumitsu teaches wherein the step of forming the first and second plurality of micro-lenses comprise the steps of raising the temperature of the micro-lens suitable material in order to relieve the surface tension thereof; allowing the micro-lens suitable material to reflow in order to achieve a desired lens focal length; and reducing the temperature of the micro-lens suitable material in order to preserve the achieved lens focal length (column 4, lines 6-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokumitsu ('856) as applied to claims 1, 3-8 and 11 above, and further in view of Kono et al. (U.S. 5,604,077).

Art Unit: 2823

Tokumitsu teaches forming a photoresist layer comprising a novolac positive resist used as a micro-lens suitable material, but fail to expressly teach forming said photoresist by coating the photoresist onto the semiconductive circuit; and performing the patterning by placing a first formation mask comprising the first lens formation pattern proximate to the first coat of micro-lens suitable material, aligning the first formation mask relative to the semiconductive circuit and illuminating the first formation mask with radiation. However, Kono et al. in a related method to treat novolac positive photoresist teach forming said photoresist by coating the photoresist onto a substrate; and performing the patterning by placing a first formation mask comprising the first lens formation pattern proximate to the first coat of micro-lens suitable material, aligning the first formation mask relative to the semiconductive circuit and illuminating the first formation mask with radiation (column 5, line 60 - column 6, line 13). It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Tokumitsu and Kono et al. to enable the formation and patterning steps of Tokumitsu to be performed according to the teachings of Kono et al. because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to look to alternative suitable methods of performing the disclosed formation and patterning steps of Tokumitsu and art recognized suitability for an intended purpose has been recognized to be motivation to combine. MPEP 2144.07.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 06/21/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2823

Applicants argue, "...Tokumitsu...teaches a method of manufacturing an image pick-up device having at least two photoelectric conversion elements that convert incident light to electric signals. Tokumitsu does not disclose, teach, or even suggest forming micro-lenses in accordance with the teachings of the novel multi-stage process of the present invention. Tokumitsu merely teaches forming first semicylindrical condenser lenses 106 and second semicylindrical condenser lenses 108 on alternate lines over light receiving portions 102. See Figure 7 and column 4, lines 8-31 of Tokumitsu...". In response to this argument, Tokumitsu does teach forming first and second semicylindrical lenses 106 and 108, respectively. However, Tokumitsu also teaches another embodiment of the invention (Figs.8-10 and column 5, lines 3 – 25), which successfully describes the claimed invention as mentioned in the above-Office Action.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2823

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Julio J. Maldonado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax number for this group is 703-872-9306 for before final submissions, 703-872-9306 for after final submissions and the customer service number for group 2800 is (703) 306-3329. Updates can be found at http://www.uspto.gov/web/info/2800.htm.

Julio J. Maldonado Patent Examiner Art Unit 2823

Julio J. Maldonado September 2, 2004

Primary Examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/016,713

Page 7

Art Unit: 2823